

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/692,257	10/19/2000	Philip W. Miller	38-21(15771)B	7102
75	90 05/27/2005		EXAM	INER
Lawrence M. Lavin, Jr.			TUNG, JOYCE	
MONSANTO (	COMPANY			
Mailzone E2NA	A		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
800 N. Lindbergh Boulevard			1637	
St. Louis, MO 63167			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/692,257	MILLER ET AL.	MILLER ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Joyce Tung	1637		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 January 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.		
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the fol places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a l (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comfollowing time periods:</li> </ol>	lowing replies: (1) an amendment, a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in opliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	affidavit, or other evid n compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or	
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>		ne final rejection, whichev	eric later In no	
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.	er is later. Ill lio	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F		D WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. statutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extensions of (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>26 January 2005</u>. A the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a) appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any re AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid di	ismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection  (a) They raise new issues that would require further of  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in b	consideration and/or search (see NC low);	OTE below);		
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)				
4. $\ \square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ worded below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of	
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 8-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	but before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>i</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary	
<ul> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessation.</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanate</li> </ul>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-		
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered because in the considered because it is a second to the consid	out does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:	
12. T Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s	) (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

13. Other: \_\_\_

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Page 2

Art Unit: 1637

Application/Control Number: 09/692,257

The applicant's response filed January 26, 2005 to the office action has been entered.

Claims 1 and 8-13 are pending.

- 1. The rejection of claims 1 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Klann (6,068974, issued May 30, 2005) is withdrawn because of the amendment.
- 2. Claims 1 and 8-13 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 (Utility), and 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph, as allegedly lacking a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well-established utility, since the response does not have a specific argument in terms of the rejection. Thus the rejection is maintained.
- 3. Claims 1 and 8-13 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (Enablement). Specifically, since the claims invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well-established utility, one skill in the art would not know how to use the claimed invention so that it would operate as intended without undue experimentation, since the response does not have a specific argument in terms of the rejection. Thus the rejection is maintained.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (Written Description), as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention, since the response does not have a specific argument in terms of the rejection. Thus the rejection is maintained).

Summary

5. No claims are allowable.

Application/Control Number: 09/692,257

Art Unit: 1637

6. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joyce Tung whose telephone number is (703) 305-7112. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM-4:30 PM.

7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached at (703) 308-1119 on Monday-Friday from 10:00 AM-

6:00 PM.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Chemical/Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

8. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile

transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 1637 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal

Mall 1 using (703) 305-3014 or 308-4242. The faxing of such papers must conform with the

notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Joyce Tung May 20, 2005

SENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3

5/25/05